IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Thomas J. Henry,)
Plaintiff,) Civil Action No. 9:19-3123-RMG
VS.)
Andrew Saul, Commissioner of Social Security Adminstation,	ORDER
Defendant.)
	<i>)</i>)

This matter comes before the Court for judicial review of the final decision of the Commissioner of Social Security denying Plaintiff's application for Disability Insurance Benefits ("DIB") and Supplemental Security Income ("SSI"). In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and Local Rule 73.02, D.S.C., this matter was referred to the United States Magistrate Judge for pretrial handling. The Magistrate Judge issued a Report and Recommendation ("R & R") on January 4, 2021, recommending that the decision of the Commissioner be reversed and remanded to the agency because the Administrative Law Judge ("ALJ") failed to properly evaluate and weigh the opinions of the Plaintiff's treating and examining physicians in accord with the requirements of the Treating Physician Rule, 20 C.F.R. § 404.1527(c), and to set forth "good reasons" for providing limited weight to their opinions. (Dkt. No. 18 at 11-19). The ALJ further failed to evaluate the opinions of the non-examining and non-treating physicians under the standards of the Treating Physician Rule, which includes consideration of whether the chart reviewers had access to the full medical record and the opinions of nonexamining physicians, § 404.1527(c)(3) (In weighing the opinions of nonexamining physicians,

9:19-cv-03123-RMG Date Filed 01/18/21 Entry Number 20 Page 2 of 2

"we will evaluate the degree to which these medical opinions consider all pertinent evidence in your claim, including medical opinions of treating and other examining sources."). The

Commissioner has advised the Court he will not file an objection to the R & R. (Dkt. No. 19).

The Court **ADOPTS** the Report and Recommendation as the order of this Court,

REVERSES the decision of the Commissioner pursuant to Sentence Four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g),

and **REMANDS** the matter to the Commissioner for further proceedings consistent with this

order. Since Plaintiff's application for disability benefits has been pending now for over five

years, the Commissioner is directed to schedule another administrative hearing in this matter

within 120 days of this Order and to issue a final agency decision within 180 days of this Order.

AND IT IS SO ORDERED.

S/ Richard Mark Gergel
Richard Mark Gergel
United States District Judge

Charleston, South Carolina January 18, 2021